

## **20190118-Notes from NP SG Meeting 17 Jan**

### Attendance:

Richard Carrow - Councillor and Chairman NP Steering Group  
Daniela Dillon - Resident  
Tony Garland - Resident  
Cliff James - Councillor  
Denis Matthews - Resident  
Sharon Rendall - Resident  
Chris Saint - Treasurer Pinder Recreational Trust  
Alison Stanton - Resident

### Apologies:

Steve Bungay - Resident  
Peter Harvey - Chairman Burghclere Parish Council  
Belinda Redpath - Resident

Declarations of Interest. None declared.

1. **Review.** RC took the opportunity to remind the SG about the original question posed on 6 Apr: 'What is our Ambition for Burghclere Parish?' While the main focus is on meeting B&DBC's housing target, he noted that the NP is about the needs of the community and the Parish as a whole. The time needed for the SEA has meant a slip in the programme, which should complete by Aug 19. RC reminded the group to keep referring to the tasks set out in the OH Notes of May and Aug 18. He saw two major immediate tasks: completing the reports and ensuring the Parish website could display NP material.
2. **Finance.** DM reported on the accounts. He had just received a bill from OH, which would be addressed now part of the second tranche of Locality funding had been approved. He confirmed that the estimated cost for OH could be met by the grants available. RC asked him to confirm SEA arrangements and agreed to approach the Parish Council to cover the costs of incidental expenses such as hire of stands etc for the Dec Drop In Sessions and any future events.
3. **Falcon Developments.** RC explained that Falcon Developments had given a presentation to the Parish Council in early Jan on their Vision Document for a proposal to develop BUR 003/ Site B with a range of housing options (9, 20 and 35 houses), which had been noted.
4. **Website.** CJ explained that the Parish Council website would move from Weebly to a new configuration able to host more material, including that of the NP SG. BR had kindly offered to help set up a bespoke site, but after discussion it was agreed that it would be better to stick with arrangements already underway. RC stressed the urgency of this to ensure public access to documentation.
5. **B&DBC draft guidance notes on new homes in the countryside.** RC drew attention of the SG to two recently issued draft guidance notes. He considered these were more for the use of the Parish Council in considering applications but that the SG should be aware of their issue.
6. **Next Steps.**
  - a. **Drop In Session follow-up.** RC and DD would continue this work, in particular addressing any accompanying notes.
  - b. **Parish Magazine update for Feb.** Save for some editorial tweaks, all were content with the draft update.
  - c. **SEA.** RC explained the process involved in the SEA, which would run alongside our planning, and the statutory consultation required (both bodies/organisations and the time involved). SR asked that AECOM engage with the SG to ensure their draft report contained

no inaccuracies about local issues; RC agreed to ask AECOM to confirm this would happen.

- d. Maps. RC & OH had spoken with B&DBC who were willing to support the generation of maps for the draft NP. Emily Corfield was the principal POC and those responsible for developing maps were invited to discuss their needs with her as their requirements matured.
- e. Burghclere Primary School. DD explained that the School Council was interested in development of a logo for the NP. DD & RC would meet (date to be fixed) and it was hoped consultation with the School authorities would occur at the same time.
- f. Policy ideas. OH had asked the SG to confirm the list of proposed policies as contained in their Aug note. RC had drafted a traffic and car parking policy which would be added, but it was considered the list would otherwise stand.
- g. Housing.
  - (1) RC would update and distribute the latest version of the Site Allocation paper to the Housing TG for review. Consideration would need to be given to site prioritisation. Additional criteria would also be considered to support the evidence for the SEA.
  - (2) CS reported he was planning to undertake more work on house floor sizes.
  - (3) CS agreed to contact Hastoe to initiate consideration of CRTB development on Parish land.
  - (4) Design. Those houses identified for consideration as BAH1 in the Design statement should be consulted. RC would action.
- h. Environment. After discussion it was agreed that the grass triangle opposite the Portal Hall would be added as a LGS proposal (ownership believed to be HCC) and the land next to the Primary School would be removed.
- i. Business and Facilities. RC reminded the TG that in accordance with the OH Notes consultation should now be undertaken with a range of groups and bodies. These included: rural landowners, tourism site owners, sizeable local businesses, schools, Sports Club and public houses. The responsibility for doing so was divided among the group, principal among them AS & CJ. RC offered to accompany any visit.

4. **AOB**. RC reported that the Parish Council had received an anonymous letter about NP at their last meeting to which it was unable to respond.

5. **Next meeting**. The next NP SG meeting will be held at 1700 hours on 28 Feb in the Portal Hall. OH will attend. DM apologised for his absence on business that day.